IKR Publishers

IKR Journal of Agriculture and Biosciences (IKRJAB)
Journal homepage: https://ikrpublishers.com/ikrjab/
Volume-2, Issue-2 (March-April) 2026
OPEN ACCESS
ISSN: 3107-5509 (Online)

The Importance of Microbial Activities in the Soil

Ekwunife, Moses Amara*
Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria

DOI:*10.5281/zenodo.19684724*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received : 20-03-2026
Accepted : 28-03-2026
Available online : 21-04-2026

Citation: Ekwunife, M. A. (2026). The Importance of Microbial Activities in the Soil. *IKR Journal of Agriculture and Biosciences (IKRJAB), 2*(2), 67-76.

ABSTRACT **Original Research Article**

Soil microorganisms are indispensable drivers of terrestrial ecosystem functioning, yet comprehensive critical reviews that integrate recent advances in microbial ecology with agricultural sustainability remain limited. This critical review synthesizes current knowledge on the functional roles of soil bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and nematodes. Microbial activities—including nutrient cycling, organic matter decomposition, soil aggregation, and pathogen suppression—underpin soil health and agricultural productivity. However, these benefits are increasingly threatened by climate change and intensive agricultural practices. The review critically evaluates evidence for microbial contributions to global ecosystem services, estimated at over US$1.3 billion annually, while acknowledging harmful effects such as denitrification and pathogenicity. Key findings reveal that emerging metagenomic evidence suggests less than 10% of soil microbial species have been characterized, indicating substantial knowledge gaps. Mycorrhizal networks and glomalin production represent underutilized tools for soil carbon sequestration. Climate change and agricultural intensification are driving biotic homogenization of soil microbial communities with unknown functional consequences. In conclusion, sustainable agricultural management—including conservation tillage, cover cropping, and the adoption of biological control—must prioritize soil microbial health. Future research priorities include metagenomic surveys of unculturable taxa, quantification of microbial feedback to climate change, and development of region-specific microbial inoculants.

Keywords: Soil Microorganisms, Nutrient Cycling, Mycorrhizal Fungi, Soil Health, Sustainable Agriculture, Climate Change, Metagenomics.

**Corresponding author: Ekwunife, Moses Amara*
Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria

Introduction

The Global Challenge: Food Security and Soil Health

Economic growth and population dynamics collectively exert unprecedented pressure on global agricultural systems. The World Bank (2009) projected global economic growth at approximately 1.7 percent annually, with developing economies experiencing higher rates, averaging 2.1 percent. Concurrently, the global population is projected to reach nearly 10 billion by the end of the twenty-first century, necessitating substantial and sustained increases in food production (Thavorn *et al.*, 2021). According to Lal (2009), meeting these demands requires not only the improvement and preservation of soil health but also enhanced efficiency in the use of natural resources and strategic public policy formulation.

While soil microorganisms are widely acknowledged as essential for soil functioning, the existing literature presents fragmented frameworks linking specific microbial activities to measurable soil health indicators under changing environmental conditions, indicating an opportunity for further synthesis. Furthermore, recent advances in metagenomics have revealed that less than 10 percent of soil microbial species have been characterized (Blum et al., 2004; Schloss & Handelsman, 2006), suggesting that current understanding may substantially underestimate microbial contributions to ecosystem services.

Soil Health and Microbial Roles

Soil health refers to the capacity of soil to function as a dynamic living system within ecosystem and land-use boundaries, sustaining plant and animal health while conserving the surrounding environment (Doran & Zeiss, 2000; Lewis, 2019). This definition explicitly recognizes soil as a biological entity rather than an inert growth medium.

Terrestrial ecosystem functioning depends fundamentally on biologically mediated processes occurring in soil. Soil organisms release and recycle key nutrient elements through decomposition of organic residues, with bacteria and fungi accounting for over 95 percent of total soil biomass (Coleman & Wall, 2007; Wardle, 2002). A typical soil sample contains approximately 1.3 billion bacteria, 3 million fungi, and diverse populations of protozoa, nematodes, and actinomycetes per gram (Doran & Zeiss, 2000; Weil & Brady, 2017).

Objectives

Despite their documented importance, soil microbial communities are undervalued in agricultural management, and emerging threats from climate change and agricultural intensification require urgent reconsideration of how soil health is monitored and maintained. Furthermore, the net benefits of soil microbial activities substantially outweigh their harmful effects, but this balance depends critically on management practices.

The specific objectives of this critical review are:

1. To synthesize current evidence on the functional roles of bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and nematodes in soil ecosystems.
2. To evaluate knowledge gaps, including the under characterization of microbial diversity and the impacts of climate change.
3. To assess the economic importance of soil biodiversity using the most recent available estimates.

To provide evidence-based recommendations for sustainable soil management that incorporate microbial health.

Review Methodology

This critical review synthesizes peer-reviewed literature from 1985 to 2025, identified through Google Scholar, Web of Science, and PubMed databases using search terms including "soil microorganisms," "nutrient cycling," "mycorrhizal fungi," "soil health," and "climate change impacts." Priority was given to meta-analyses, systematic reviews, and recent primary research (2020–2025) while retaining foundational studies for historical context

Soil Microbial Communities: Composition and Functional Diversity

Overview of Soil Biota

Soil microorganisms derive energy and nutrients from plant detritus, animal remains, and root exudates (Coleman & Wall, 2007; Wardle, 2002). Recent research emphasizes that soil fauna influence carbon cycling through both trophic pathways (microbivory and detritivory) and non-trophic mechanisms (bioturbation and waste deposition) (Angst *et al*., 2024; Bonfanti *et al*., 2025).

Quantitative estimates of soil organism abundance vary substantially across sources and soil types. Table 1 presents representative values, though readers should note that algal counts exceeding 10,000 per gram are typically limited to surface crusts or specialized environments (Hoorman, 2011; Weil & Brady, 2017).

Table 1. Representative Abundance of Soil Organisms (per gram soil)

Organism	Number per gram soil	Biomass (kg/ha in 15 cm)
Bacteria	up to 1×10^9	400–5,000
Fungi	up to 1×10^8	1,000–15,000
Actinomycetes	up to 1×10^6	400 – 5000
Algae	10^3–10^5	10–500
Protozoa	up to 1×10^4	20–200
Nematodes	10–100	10–150
Mites	1–10	5–150
Earthworms	—	100–1,500

Note. Compiled from Hoorman (2011) and Weil and Brady (2017). Algal counts represent upper bounds typically observed in surface crusts.

Knowledge Gap: The Unculturable Majority

A critical limitation of current understanding is that conventional cultivation methods capture only an estimated 1 percent of soil microbial species. Metagenomic analyses reveal thousands of bacterial and fungal taxa per sample, compared to approximately 200 species documented in early cultivation-based studies (Brady, 1961; Köninger *et al*., 2023; Schloss & Handelsman, 2006). This gap suggests that many microbial functions remain unknown, and current economic valuations of soil biodiversitylikely represent substantial underestimates.

Functional Roles of Major Soil Microbial Groups

Soil Bacteria

Taxonomic and Functional Diversity

Soil bacteria constitute the unseen majority of soil biota, with a single gram of soil hosting up to 1 billion cells, representing approximately 10,000 distinct genomes (Turbé *et al.,* 2010; Weil & Brady, 2017). Bacterial biomass reaches 1–2 tonnes per hectare in grassland ecosystems. Despite their microscopic size (<2 μm), bacteria contribute 3–5 percent of total soil organic matter.

Bacterial longevity varies dramatically, from hours in active cells to millennia in dormant spores. For example, *Bacillus* spores from permafrost 25,000 to 40,000 years old remain viable (Johnson & Head, 2020). Key factors affecting bacterial longevity include metabolic state (dormancy suspends aging), DNA repair capacity (enzymes like RecA reverse damage), protective structures (spore coats resist heat and radiation), and environmental conditions (temperature, humidity, and salinity) (Nicholson *et al.,* 2002; Setlow, 2014). Thus, extreme longevity relies on reversible dormancy, robust repair, and structural resilience.

Key functional groups include:

Ammonifying bacteria (e.g., *Bacillus subtilis*, *Bacillus mycoides*) decompose proteins into ammonium compounds through putrefaction (Madigan *et al.,* 2015; Tortora *et al.,* 2018).

Nitrifying bacteria perform sequential oxidation: *Nitrosomonas* species oxidize ammonium to nitrite, while *Nitrobacter* subsequently oxidizes nitrite to nitrate (Madigan *et al.,* 2015; Prosser, 1989).

Nitrogen-fixing bacteria include free-living species (*Azotobacter*, *Clostridium*) and symbiotic *Rhizobium* that form root nodules on legumes (Postgate, 1998; Somasegaran & Hoben, 2012).

Actinomycetes (filamentous bacteria) decompose recalcitrant compounds, including chitin and cellulose, produce the characteristic earthy odour of healthy soil, and are notable for antibiotic production, particularly *Streptomyces* species (Madigan *et al.,* 2015; Tortora *et al.,* 2018).

Beneficial Functions

Bacteria account for over 90 percent of total organic matter decomposition, producing water, carbon dioxide, and inorganic nutrients (Tortora *et al.,* 2018). Biological nitrogen fixation enables leguminous crops to thrive in nitrogen-poor soils and enriches soil nitrogen content for subsequent crops (Postgate, 1998).

Antibiotic-producing actinobacteria have yielded clinically significant compounds, including actinomycin, erythromycin, and streptomycin. Emerging research on *Collimonas* species demonstrates antifungal properties through yet-unidentified mechanisms (de Boer *et al.,* 2004).

Biocontrol applications: *Bacillus megaterium* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* suppress the phytopathogenic fungus *Rhizoctonia solani* through antibiosis, competition, and induced plant resistance (Fravel, 2005; Whipps, 2001). *Bacillus subtilis* controls *Alternaria helianthi* in sunflowers via antifungal metabolite production (Sharf *et al.,* 2016).

Harmful Effects

Denitrification: *Thiobacillus* and *Micro bacterium* species convert plant-available nitrate to gaseous nitrogen (N_2), reducing nitrogen use efficiency in agricultural systems (Philippot *et al.,* 2007).

Desulphurization: Sulphate-reducing bacteria (*Desulfovibrio*) produce hydrogen sulphide (H_2S), which is toxic to plant roots under reducing conditions (Muyzer & Stams, 2008; Postgate, 1984).

Pathogenicity: Bacteria cause over 90 percent of human diseases and more than 10 percent of plant diseases globally (Agrios, 2005; Madigan *et al.,* 2015).

Soil Fungi

Diversity and Ecological Significance

Molecular techniques have transformed understanding of soil fungal diversity, revealing thousands of taxa per sample, compared with approximately 200 species documented in early studies (Brady, 1961; Köninger *et al.,* 2023). Fungi are now recognized as dynamic ecosystem engineers central to soil structure and global biogeochemical cycles (Wang & Rengel, 2024).

Intensive agricultural practices are driving biotic homogenization of fungal communities, replacing unique assemblages with widespread, uniform taxa (Peng *et al.,* 2025). The functional consequences of this homogenization remain poorly understood.

Fungi grow as microscopic hyphae (a few micrometres in diameter, extending from centimetres to meters) that form mycelial networks. Hyphae secrete enzymes to break down complex organic molecules, functioning as natural recycling agents (Hoorman, 2011).

In natural environments, certain fungi exhibit remarkable longevity. The most extensively documented example is the honey fungus *Armillaria ostoyae*, whose mycelial networks in eastern Oregon's Malheur National Forest have been radiocarbon-dated and genetically mapped to an estimated age of 2,400 to 8,650 years, making it one of the oldest and largest living organisms on Earth (Ferguson *et al.,* 2003). This extreme longevity is facilitated by the fungus's ability to spread rhizomorphs over vast areas, efficiently translocating nutrients and water while deploying melanized cell walls and enzymatic defences against competitors and pathogens

(Smith *et al.,* 2017). Other long-lived species, such as the wood-decay fungus *Fomitopsis officinalis*, can sustain individual fruiting bodies for decades, with their underlying mycelium persisting within living tree hosts for centuries (Redman & Rodriguez, 2021).Key factors affecting fungal longevity include substrate stability (the duration a host tree or organic matter remains viable), stress resistance mechanisms (such as melanized cell walls and antioxidant enzymes that protect against desiccation, UV radiation, and pathogens), and genetic regulation (including mitochondrial DNA integrity, telomere maintenance, and the ability to undergo hyphal fusion to rejuvenate aged mycelia) (Redman & Rodriguez, 2021; Smith *et al.,* 2017). Collectively, these findings indicate that fungal longevity is not merely passive survival but an active ecological strategy involving stress resistance, resource integration, and clonal expansion.

Taxonomic Classification

Zygomycetes (fewer than 1,000 species) include common bread moulds and saprobic "sugar fungi" that rapidly colonize soluble sugars in fresh substrates (Madigan *et al.,* 2015; Tortora *et al.,* 2018).

Ascomycetes (over 30,000 species) range from unicellular yeasts to multicellular forms. They decompose organic matter, harbours pathogenic species that cause Dutch elm disease and chestnut blight, and are used in winemaking and antibiotic production (Tortora *et al*., 2018).

Basidiomycetes include mushrooms, toadstools, and puffballs. While commercially significant (e.g., *Agaricus campestris*), they also include devastating crop pathogens such as rusts and smuts (Madigan *et al*., 2015).

Functional Groups

Decomposer (saprophytic) fungi break down cellulose and lignin, playing an essential role in degrading recalcitrant organic compounds. Fungi contribute to nutrient immobilization, with secondary metabolites (organic acids) enhancing humus formation that persists for decades to centuries—not thousands of years as occasionally claimed (Hoorman, 2011; Six *et al*., 2004).

Correction to common misconception: While some fungal structures may persist for extended periods, the claim that individual fungal organisms persist for "millions of years" lacks empirical support. Fairy ring fungi grow in expanding patterns measured in decades to centuries (Hoorman, 2011).

Pathogenic fungi (*Verticillium*, *Pythium*, *Rhizoctonia*, *Phytophthora*) cause substantial economic losses. Notably, *Pythium* and *Phytophthora* are oomycetes (unicellular organisms related to brown algae) rather than true fungi (Madigan *et al.,* 2015).

Mycorrhizal fungi form mutualistic symbioses with over 5,000 plant species (Smith & Read, 2008). Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi enhance nutrient acquisition—particularly nitrogen and phosphorus—through enzyme production (proteases, phosphatases) that mineralize organic matter (Tortora *et al.,* 2018).

Beneficial Functions

Nutrient uptake enhancement: Fungal hyphae explore approximately 20 percent of soil volume, compared to only 1 percent accessed by plant root hairs (Miller *et al.,* 1995; Smith & Read, 2008). Up to 20 percent of plant-assimilated carbon may be allocated to mycorrhizal partners in exchange for nitrogen, phosphorus, and water (van der Heijden *et al.,* 2015).

Soil structure improvement: Fungal hyphae physically bind soil particles into macroaggregates (>250 μm). AM fungi secrete glomalin—a glycoprotein composed of plant-derived sugars and fungal proteins—that binds microaggregates into stable macroaggregates (Rillig, 2004; Wright & Upadhyaya, 1998). Hyphal densities typically range from 1 to 20 meters per gram of soil.

Drought stress alleviation: Fungal hyphal networks supply additional water to plants, and a protective sheath forms around roots under dry conditions. Phosphorus becomes limiting during drought, even in high-phosphorus soils; fungi mitigate this limitation by supplying both water and phosphorus (Smith & Read, 2008).

Pathogen resistance: AM-colonized tomatoes exhibit reduced susceptibility to *Phytophthora parasitica* (Cordier *et al.,* 1998) and *Alternaria solani* (Fritz *et al.,* 2006), while *Medicago truncatula* shows inhibition of *Xanthomonas campestris* (Liu *et al.,* 2007).

Carbon dynamics: Fungi exhibit carbon use efficiency of 40–55 percent, storing and recycling more carbon than bacteria, which release a greater proportion as carbon dioxide (Six et al., 2006). Fungi possess C: N ratios of approximately 10:1, compared to 3–5:1 for bacteria (Madigan *et al.,* 2015).

Bacterial and fungal contributions to organic matter decomposition differ markedly in magnitude and substrate preference. While bacteria account for over 90% of organic matter decomposition by biomass, fungi dominate the breakdown of recalcitrant substrates such as lignin and cellulose, with their relative contributions varying substantially across ecosystems and residue types (Wardle, 2002). Key factors affecting this microbial balance include substrate complexity (fungi produce extracellular enzymes like lignin peroxidases that bacteria lack), pH (fungi favour acidic soils below pH 5, while bacteria thrive near neutral), temperature (fungi are more tolerant of extreme cold and drought), and nitrogen availability (high nitrogen suppresses fungal activity while favoring bacterial growth) (Six *et al.,* 2006; Bardgett & van der Putten, 2014). Consequently, fungal-dominated decomposition typically prevails in forests and boreal ecosystems with acidic, low-nitrogen soils and woody litter. In contrast, bacterial-dominated decomposition

dominates in grasslands and agricultural systems with neutral pH and higher nutrient availability.

Soil Protozoa

Description and Ecology

Protozoa are single-celled organisms (5–500 μm diameter) that feed primarily on bacteria, though some consume other protozoa, soluble organic matter, or fungi (Madigan *et al.*, 2015). Both protozoa and nematodes are aquatic, living in soil water films and water-filled pores (Tortora *et al.*, 2018).

Protozoa constitute an important trophic link in soil food webs, facilitating carbon and nitrogen flow by consuming bacteria and fungi while serving as prey for larger fauna (nematodes, mites, collembola, earthworms) (De Ruiter *et al.*, 2002).

Functional Roles

Microbial community regulation: Through feeding preferences and high consumption rates—a single *Paramecium* can consume 5 million bacteria daily—protozoa strongly influence bacterial species composition. Different amoeba and flagellate species select for distinct bacterial communities (Ronn *et al.*, 2002).

Nutrient mineralization: Protozoa (and nematodes) have lower cellular nitrogen content than their bacterial prey. The C: N ratio for protozoa is approximately 10:1 or higher, compared to 3–5:1 for bacteria. Protozoa graze on bacteria and excrete surplus nitrogen as ammonium (NH_4^+), a process termed the "microbial loop" that occurs predominantly near plant roots (Clarholm, 1985).

Plant growth promotion: Protozoa grazing stimulates root growth by promoting specific rhizobacteria—an indirect effect that appears more important than direct nutrient release (Bonkowski & Brandt, 2002). This extends the microbial loop hypothesis to the rhizosphere.

Human health considerations: Free-living naked soil amoebae (*Acanthamoeba*, *Naegleria*, *Hartmannella*) can act as reservoirs and dispersal vectors for human pathogenic bacteria, including *Legionella*, *Chlamydia*, *Listeria*, and *Salmonella* (Greub & Raoult, 2004).

Soil Nematodes

Description and Trophic Classification

Nematodes are non-segmented, tapered worms (approximately 50 μm diameter, 1 mm length) with complete digestive, nervous, and reproductive systems (Bongers & Ferris, 1999; Yeates *et al.*, 1993). While a minority cause plant diseases, the majority fulfil beneficial functions.

Trophic groups include:

- Bacterial-feeders consume bacteria
- Fungal-feeders puncture fungal cell walls
- Predatory nematodes consume other nematodes and protozoa
- Omnivores exhibit varied diets across life stages
- Root-feeders (plant parasites) are not considered free-living

Functional Roles

Nutrient cycling: Nematodes contribute to nitrogen mineralization by excreting ammonium during grazing, similar to protozoa (Ferris *et al.*, 1998; Ingham *et al.*, 1985).

Population regulation: At low densities, nematode grazing stimulates prey population growth. At high densities, overgrazing suppresses bacterial and fungal populations, potentially reducing decomposition rates and nutrient immobilization (Ferris *et al.*, 1998). Predatory nematodes regulate bacterivore and fungivore populations, preventing overgrazing of microbial communities.

Microbial dispersal: Nematodes transport bacteria and fungi via cuticular attachment and intestinal passage (Yeates *et al.*, 1993).

Disease suppression: Some nematodes consume root-feeding nematodes or compete for root access, making them potential biocontrol agents (Stirling, 1991).

Climate Change and Agricultural Intensification: Emerging Threats

Previous reviews of soil microbial activities have limited the extent of research on the impacts of climate change and intensive agriculture on microbial communities. This section addresses that gap.

Climate Change Impacts

Climate change affects soil microbial communities through multiple mechanisms:

Climate change affects soil microbial communities through multiple mechanisms. Temperature increases alter microbial metabolic rates, potentially shifting the balance between carbon sequestration and respiration, with warming generally increasing decomposition rates in cool climates but potentially decreasing them in already warm regions (Singh *et al.*, 2012). Key factors mediating these temperature effects include moisture availability (warming-induced drought suppresses microbial activity even when temperatures favor decomposition), substrate accessibility (physical protection of organic matter within soil aggregates limits microbial access regardless of temperature), microbial community composition (fungi and bacteria exhibit different thermal optima and temperature sensitivities), and acclimation capacity (microbial communities may adapt thermally over time, reducing initial warming responses) (Bradford *et al.*, 2008; Davidson & Janssens, 2006). Consequently, predicting soil carbon dynamics under climate change requires integrating responses to temperature, moisture, substrate, and community-level factors.

Drought stress reduces mycorrhizal colonization and hyphal network connectivity, limiting nutrient transport to plants. Phosphorus limitation becomes acute during drought even in high-phosphorus soils (Smith & Read, 2008).

Elevated atmospheric CO_2 increases root exudation, potentially stimulating microbial activity but also accelerating decomposition of soil organic matter—a feedback that could reduce soil carbon stocks (van der Heijden *et al.,* 2008).

Extreme weather events (flooding, prolonged drought) cause rapid shifts in microbial community composition, with unknown consequences for functional resilience.

Agricultural Intensification

Tillage disrupts mycorrhizal hyphal networks, reduces fungal biomass by 30–60 percent compared to no-till systems, and accelerates organic matter decomposition through increased aeration (Hoorman, 2011; Six *et al.,* 2004).

Nitrogen fertilization suppresses biological nitrogen fixation by free-living and symbiotic diazotrophs, reduces mycorrhizal colonization (plants become less dependent on fungal partners when nitrogen is abundant), and alters bacterial community composition, favouring copiotrophic taxa (Philippot *et al.*, 2007).

Pesticide applications directly harm non-target soil organisms, including biocontrol agents and mycorrhizal fungi, potentially reducing natural disease suppression (Whipps, 2001).

Biotic homogenization: Intensive agriculture replaces diverse, site-adapted microbial communities with uniform assemblages dominated by disturbance-tolerant taxa (Peng *et al.,* 2025). The functional consequences of homogenization remain poorly understood but may include reduced resilience to environmental stress.

Economic Importance of Soil Biodiversity

Estimated Economic Benefits

Table 2 presents estimated global economic benefits of soil biodiversity, adapted from Gardi and Jeffery (2009). Readers should interpret these as conservative underestimates, as they exclude services from unculturable taxa.

Table 2. Estimated Global Economic Benefits of Soil Biodiversity

Activity	Involvement of soil biodiversity	Estimated world economic benefits ($\times 10^6$ US$ /year)
Waste recycling	Fungi, bacteria, protozoans	760
Soil formation	Earthworms, ants, termites, and fungi facilitate soil formation	25
Nitrogen fixation	Biological nitrogen fixation by bacteria	90
Degradation of chemicals	Soil microorganisms play a key role in degrading or modifying pollutants	121
Pest control	Soil provides a microhabitat for the natural enemies of certain animal pest species	160
Pollination	Many pollinators have a soil-dwelling phase in their life cycle	200

The total estimated economic benefits of biodiversity, considering the services provided by soil biota activities worldwide. (modified from Gardi and Jefferey, 2009)

5.2 Limitations of Current Estimates

Current economic valuations substantially underestimate true value because:

1. Unculturable taxa (approximately 99% of species) are excluded due to a lack of characterization.
2. Regulatory services (climate regulation, water purification) are difficult to monetize.
3. Non-linear interactions between microbial groups create synergies not captured in additive estimates.
4. Regional variation in soil type, climate, and management affects benefit magnitudes.

Discussion

The Benefit-to-Harm Balance of Soil Microbial Activities

The evidence reviewed in this paper supports the conclusion that beneficial microbial functions substantially outweigh harmful effects under most agricultural and natural soil conditions. Bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and nematodes collectively drive nutrient cycling, organic matter decomposition, soil aggregation, disease suppression, and plant growth promotion—ecosystem services indispensable to sustainable food production. However, this favourable balance is not unconditional; rather, it depends critically on land management practices.

Several key factors influence whether microbial communities express their beneficial or harmful potential:

Tillage intensity strongly influences soil microbial community structure and function. Conventional tillage disrupts mycorrhizal hyphal networks, reduces fungal biomass by 30–60 percent compared to no-till systems, and accelerates organic matter decomposition through increased soil aeration and residue incorporation (Six *et al.,* 2004; Helgason *et al.,* 2010). This physical disturbance Favors bacterial-dominated communities over fungal-dominated ones, shifting the decomposition pathway toward faster carbon turnover and reduced carbon sequestration. In

contrast, conservation tillage preserves hyphal networks and maintains the structural integrity of soil food webs.

Fertilizer type and application rate profoundly affect microbial community composition. Excessive nitrogen fertilization suppresses biological nitrogen fixation by free-living and symbiotic diazotrophs, as plants become less dependent on microbial partners when nitrogen is abundant (Philippot *et al*., 2007). Furthermore, high nitrogen availability favours fast-growing, opportunistic bacterial taxa while suppressing beneficial symbionts such as arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi. Reduced mycorrhizal colonization diminishes plant access to phosphorus, water, and other soil resources, potentially increasing crop vulnerability to drought and nutrient stress (Jackson *et al.*, 2008).

Pesticide application spectrum has significant consequences for soil microbial diversity and function. Broad-spectrum biocides, including fungicides and bactericides, do not discriminate between pathogenic and beneficial microorganisms. Their application reduces overall microbial diversity, eliminating antagonistic species that naturally suppress plant pathogens (Drinkwater & Snapp, 2007). This loss of functional redundancy can create ecological niches that favour recolonization by resistant pathogens, potentially exacerbating disease pressure in subsequent growing seasons.

Crop rotation diversity shapes long-term microbial community trajectories. Monoculture systems promote the buildup of host-specific pathogens, including *Fusarium*, *Pythium*, and *Verticillium* species, which persist in soil and cause cumulative yield declines over time. Conversely, diverse crop rotations maintain functional redundancy within microbial communities, preventing any single pathogen from dominating and providing multiple lines of defence against disease outbreaks (Drinkwater & Snapp, 2007).

Management Implications

The evidence reviewed indicates that agricultural systems can be managed to favour beneficial microbial functions while suppressing harmful outcomes. Practices that minimize soil disturbance, optimize nutrient inputs, reduce reliance on broad-spectrum pesticides, and diversify crop rotations create conditions that allow beneficial microorganisms to thrive. Conversely, intensive management that disregards soil biology shifts the benefit-to-harm balance toward negative outcomes, including denitrification (nitrogen loss to the atmosphere), pathogen proliferation, and reduced soil carbon storage.

Major Knowledge Gaps

1. Functional roles of unculturable taxa: Metagenomic surveys reveal enormous uncharacterized diversity, but the functions of these organisms remain unknown (Köninger *et al.*, 2023; Schloss & Handelsman, 2006).
2. Climate change feedbacks: Quantitative models of soil carbon dynamics under warming, drought, and elevated CO_2 remain poorly constrained by empirical data (Singh *et al.*, 2012).
3. Biotic homogenization consequences: The replacement of diverse fungal communities with uniform assemblages may reduce ecosystem resilience, but long-term studies are lacking (Peng *et al.*, 2025).
4. Regional inoculant development: Most commercial microbial inoculants are developed for temperate systems; products for tropical and arid regions are understudied.

Recommendations for Sustainable Soil Management

Based on the evidence reviewed, the following practices are recommended to preserve and enhance soil microbial health:

Agricultural Practices

1. Cover cropping maintains microbial hosts and provides continuous organic inputs, supporting diverse bacterial and fungal communities.
2. Mycorrhizal associations should be encouraged through reduced tillage and avoidance of unnecessary phosphorus fertilization, which suppresses AM colonization.
3. Seed inoculation with beneficial microbes (*Rhizobium* for legumes, AM fungi for cereals) enhances nutrient acquisition at establishment.
4. Biological control should replace broad-spectrum pesticides where feasible, preserving natural enemies of pathogens.
5. Conservation tillage (no-till or reduced tillage) preserves hyphal networks and reduces organic matter oxidation.
6. Diversified crop rotations prevent the buildup of host-specific pathogens and maintain balanced bacterial: fungal ratios.

Research Priorities

1. Metagenomic surveys of unculturable soil taxa across diverse ecosystems and management regimes.
2. Long-term experiments quantifying microbial community responses to climate change (warming, drought, elevated CO_2).
3. Regional inoculant development for tropical, arid, and historically understudied systems.
4. Standardized glomalin quantification methods to resolve methodological controversies.
5. Economic valuation studies incorporating non-linear interactions and regulatory services.

Conclusion

Soil microbial communities—comprising bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and nematodes—are integral to ecosystem functioning, driving carbon cycling, nutrient turnover, the production of trace gases, and the formation of soil structure. This critical review has demonstrated that while certain microbial activities produce harmful effects (denitrification, desulphurization, pathogenicity), the collective evidence indicates that beneficial functions substantially outweigh detrimental impacts under sustainable management.

However, major knowledge gaps remain: Less than 10 percent of soil microbial species have been characterized; climate change and agricultural intensification are altering microbial communities in poorly understood ways; and current economic valuations of soil biodiversity (estimated at US$1.3 billion annually) likely represent substantial underestimates.

In conclusion, Soil microorganisms are undervalued in agricultural policy and management. Sustainable agricultural development requires shifting from yield-centred approaches to soil health-centred approaches that prioritize microbial communities as essential infrastructure for food security. The recommendations provided—cover cropping, encouraging mycorrhizal associations, seed inoculation, adopting biological control, conservation tillage, and diversified crop rotations—offer practical pathways toward this goal.

References

1. Agrios, G. N. (2005). *Plant pathology* (5th ed.). Elsevier Academic Press.
2. Angst, G., Potapov, A., & Eisenhauer, N. (2024). Conceptualizing soil fauna effects on labile and stabilized soil organic matter. *Nature Communications*, *15*, Article 5678. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-49823-4
3. Bardgett, R. D., & van der Putten, W. H. (2014). Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Nature*, 515(7528), 505–511. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13855
4. Blum, L. K., Roberts, M. S., & Garland, J. L. (2004). Microbial diversity and function in soil: From genes to ecosystems. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, *7*(3), 245–250.
5. Bongers, T., & Ferris, H. (1999). Nematode community structure as a bioindicator in environmental monitoring. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *14*(6), 224–228.
6. Bonfanti, J., Hedde, M., & Trap, J. (2025). Linking effect traits of soil fauna to processes of organic matter transformation. *Functional Ecology*, *39*(2), 446–461. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14678
7. Bonkowski, M., & Brandt, F. (2002). Do soil protozoa enhance plant growth by hormonal effects? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *34*, 1709–1715.
8. Brady, N. C. (1961). *The nature and properties of soil*. Macmillan.
9. Clarholm, M. (1985). Possible roles for roots, bacteria, protozoa, and fungi in supplying nitrogen to plants. In A. H. Fitter, D. Atkinson, D. J. Read, & M. B. Usher (Eds.), *Ecological interactions in soil: Plants, microbes and animals* (pp. 355–365). Blackwell.
10. Coleman, D. C., & Wall, D. H. (2007). Fauna: The engine for microbial activity and transport. In E. A. Paul (Ed.), *Soil microbiology, ecology and biochemistry* (3rd ed., pp. 163–191). Academic Press.
11. Cordier, C., Pozo, M. J., Barea, J. M., Gianinazzi, S., & Gianinazzi-Pearson, V. (1998). Cell defense responses associated with localized and systemic resistance to *Phytophthora parasitica* induced in tomato by an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 11*, 1017–1028.
12. de Boer, W., Leveau, J. H. J., Kowalchuk, G. A., & van Veen, J. A. (2004). *Collimonas fungivorans*, a novel bacterial species with antifungal properties. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, *86*(3), 197–207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-004-0903-0
13. De Ruiter, P. C., Griffiths, B. S., & Moore, J. C. (2002). Biodiversity and stability in soil ecosystems: Patterns, processes and the effect of disturbance. In M. Loreau, S. Naeem, & P. Inchausti (Eds.), *Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning* (pp. 102–113). Oxford University Press.
14. Doran, J. W., & Zeiss, M. R. (2000). Soil health and sustainability: Managing the biotic component of soil quality. *Applied Soil Ecology*, *15*(1), 3–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393(00)00067-6
15. Drinkwater, L. E., & Snapp, S. S. (2007). Nutrients in agroecosystems: Rethinking the management paradigm. *Advances in Agronomy*, 92, 163–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(04)92003-2
16. Ferguson, B. A., Dreisbach, T. A., Parks, C. G., Filip, G. M., & Schmitt, C. L. (2003). Coarse-scale population structure of pathogenic *Armillaria* species in a mixed-conifer forest in the Blue Mountains of northeast Oregon. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 33(4), 612–623. https://doi.org/10.1139/x02-203
17. Ferris, H., Venette, R. C., & Scow, K. M. (1998). Soil management to enhance bacterivore and fungivore nematode populations and their mineralization of nitrogen. *Applied Soil Ecology*, *9*(1–3), 19–24.
18. Fravel, D. R. (2005). Commercialization and implementation of biocontrol. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, *43*, 337–359.

19. Fritz, M., Jakobsen, I., Lyngkjaer, M. F., Thordal-Christensen, H., & Pons-Kuhnemann, J. (2006). Arbuscular mycorrhiza reduces the susceptibility of tomato to *Alternaria solani*. *Mycorrhiza, 16*, 413–419.
20. Gardi, C., & Jeffery, S. (2009). *Soil biodiversity*. JRC Scientific and Technical Reports.
21. Greub, G., & Raoult, D. (2004). Microorganisms resistant to free-living amoebae. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 17*, 413–433.
22. Helgason, B. L., Walley, F. L., & Germida, J. J. (2010). No-till soil management increases microbial biomass and alters community profiles in soil aggregates. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 74(2), 494–503. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2009.0220
23. Hoorman, J. J. (2011). The role of protozoa and nematodes. *Agriculture and Natural Resources Fact Sheet SAG-15-11*. Ohio State University Extension.
24. Ingham, R. E., Trofymow, J. A., Ingham, E. R., & Coleman, D. C. (1985). Interactions of bacteria, fungi, and their nematode grazers: Effects on nutrient cycling and plant growth. *Ecological Monographs, 55*(1), 119–140.
25. Jackson, L. E., Burger, M., & Cavagnaro, T. R. (2008). Roots, nitrogen transformations, and ecosystem services. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 59, 341–363. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092932
26. Johnson, S. S., & Head, I. M. (2020). Long-term survival of bacteria in terrestrial and extraterrestrial environments. *Extremophiles*, 24(3), 301–315. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-020-01156-6
27. Köninger, J., Ballabio, C., Panagos, P., Jones, A., Schmid, M. W., Orgiazzi, A., & Briones, M. J. I. (2023). Ecosystem type drives soil eukaryotic diversity and composition in Europe. *Global Change Biology, 29*(19), 5706–5719. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16889
28. Lal, R. (2009). Soils and food sufficiency: A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, *29*, 113–133. https://doi.org/10.1051/agro:2008044
29. Lewis, R. (2019). *Soil health indicators and sustainable practices on Indy urban farms: An investigation of ecosystem functionality* [Master's thesis, IUPUI]. https://core.ac.uk/download/228020217.pdf
30. Liu, J., Maldonado-Mendoza, I., Lopez-Meyer, M., Cheung, F., Town, C. D., & Harrison, M. J. (2007). Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis increases gene expression and an increase in disease resistance in the shoots. *Plant Journal, 50*, 529–544.
31. Madigan, M. T., Martinko, J. M., Bender, K. S., Buckley, D. H., & Stahl, D. A. (2015). *Brock biology of microorganisms* (14th ed.). Pearson.
32. Miller, R. M., Reinhardt, D. R., & Jastrow, J. D. (1995). External hyphal production of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in pasture and tallgrass prairie communities. *Oecologia, 103*(1), 17–23.
33. Muyzer, G., & Stams, A. J. M. (2008). The ecology and biotechnology of sulphate-reducing bacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology, 6*(6), 441–454.
34. Nicholson, W. L., Munakata, N., Horneck, G., Melosh, H. J., & Setlow, P. (2002). Resistance of bacterial endospores to extreme terrestrial and extraterrestrial environments. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 64(3), 548–572. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.64.3.548-572.2000
35. Peng, Z., Wang, G., & Jiao, S. (2025). Agriculture breaks down traditional biogeographic barriers of soil fungi. *One Earth, 8*(11), 101426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2025.101426
36. Philippot, L., Hallin, S., &Schloter, M. (2007). Ecology of denitrifying prokaryotes in agricultural soil. *Advances in Agronomy, 96*, 249–305.
37. Postgate, J. R. (1984). *The sulphate-reducing bacteria* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
38. Postgate, J. R. (1998). *Nitrogen fixation* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
39. Prosser, J. I. (1989). Autotrophic nitrification in bacteria. *Advances in Microbial Physiology, 30*, 125–181.
40. Redman, R. S., & Rodriguez, R. J. (2021). Fungal longevity: From mycelial networks to ancient colonies. *Fungal Ecology*, 52, 101065. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2021.101065
41. Rillig, M. C. (2004). Arbuscular mycorrhizae, glomalin, and soil aggregation. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science, 84*(4), 355–363.
42. Ronn, R., McCaig, A., Griffiths, B. S., & Prosser, P. (2002). Impact of protozoan grazing on bacterial community structure in soil microcosms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 68*, 6094–6105.
43. Schloss, P. D., & Handelsman, J. (2006). Toward a census of bacteria in soil. *PLoS Biology, 4*(5), e184. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040184
44. Setlow, P. (2014). Spore resistance properties. *Microbiology Spectrum*, 2(5), 10.1128/microbiolspec.TBS-0003-2012. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.TBS-0003-2012
45. Sharf, W., Javaid, A., Shoaib, A., & Khan, I. H. (2016). Biocontrol potential of *Bacillus subtilis* against *Alternaria helianthi*. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 49*(9–10), 239–249.
46. Singh, B. K., Bardgett, R. D., Smith, P., & Reay, D. S. (2012). Microorganisms and climate change:

Terrestrial feedbacks and mitigation options. *Nature Reviews Microbiology, 8*(11), 779–790.

47. Six, J., Bossuyt, H., Degryze, S., & Denef, K. (2004). A history of research on the link between (micro) aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. *Soil and Tillage Research, 79*(1), 7–31.
48. Six, J., Frey, S. D., Thiet, R. K., & Batten, K. M. (2006). Bacterial and fungal contributions to carbon sequestration in agroecosystems. *Soil Science Society of America Journal, 70*, 555–569.
49. Smith, M. L., Bruhn, J. N., & Anderson, J. B. (2017). The fungus *Armillaria bulbosa* is among the largest and oldest living organisms. *Nature*, 356(6368), 428–431. https://doi.org/10.1038/356428a0
50. Smith, S. E., & Read, D. J. (2008). *Mycorrhizal symbiosis* (3rd ed.). Academic Press.
51. Somasegaran, P., & Hoben, H. J. (2012). *Handbook for rhizobia: Methods in legume-Rhizobium technology*. Springer-Verlag.
52. Stirling, G. R. (1991). *Biological control of plant parasitic nematodes*. CAB International.
53. Thavorn, J., Muangsin, V., Gowanit, C., & Muangsin, N. (2021). A scientometric assessment of agri-food technology for research activity and productivity. *Publications, 9*(4), 57. https://doi.org/
54. Tortora, G. J., Funke, B. R., & Case, C. L. (2018). *Microbiology: An introduction* (13th ed.). Pearson. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-811749-1.00001-8
55. Turbé, A., De Toni, A., Benito, P., Lavelle, P., Ruiz, N., Van der Putten, W. H., Labouze, E., & Mudgal, S. (2010). *Soil biodiversity: Functions, threats and tools for policy makers*. Bio Intelligence Service, IRD, and NIOO for European Commission (DG Environment). https://ec.europa.eu/environment/archives/soil/pdf/biodiversity_report.pdf
56. van der Heijden, M. G. A., Bardgett, R. D., & van Straalen, N. M. (2008). The unseen majority: Soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecology Letters*, 11(3), 296–310. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01139.x
57. Wardle, D. A. (2002). *Communities and ecosystems: Linking the aboveground and belowground components*. Princeton University Press.
58. Weil, R. R., & Brady, N. C. (2017). *The nature and properties of soils* (15th ed.). Pearson.
59. Whipps, J. M. (2001). Microbial interactions and biocontrol in the rhizosphere. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 52(Special Issue), 487–511. https://doi.org/10.1093/jexbot/52.suppl_1.487
60. World Bank. (2009). *Global economic prospects 2009: Commodities at the crossroads*. The World Bank. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/2802
61. Wright, S. F., & Upadhyaya, A. (1998). A survey of soils for aggregate stability and glomalin, a glycoprotein produced by hyphae of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Plant and Soil*, 198(1), 97–107. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004341321584
62. Yeates, G. W., Bongers, T., De Goede, R. G. M., Freckman, D. W., & Georgieva, S. S. (1993). Feeding habits in soil nematode families and genera—An outline for soil ecologists. *Journal of Nematology*, 25(3), 315–331. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2619405/